# Basic Education and Female Drop-outs in the Arab World (*) 


#### Abstract

Excerpts from a study entitled "Basic Education and Female Drop-outs in the Arab World", conducted by the Arab Council for Childhood and Development, 1990. The data which appears in this translation are taken exclusively from this study, unless indicated otherwise..


This study examines aspects of Basic Education in the Arab World and concentrates mainly on female drop-outs. The research surveys forms and rates, as well as the determinants of female drop-outs stated by a sample of female drop-outs themselves.

## Basic Education

According to this study, Basic Education is defined as a comprehensive educational system forming the first stage of public education. It offers basic knowledge as well as vocational skills and guidance needed to create a responsible citizen who would be able to cope with change and participate in development. "Under this program the goal is to orient students, at an early stage, to various occupations and relevant skills."(1) The basic education program is six years in countries like Sudan and Somalia and nine to ten years in the Gulf countries and Jordan.

However, despite development, Basic Education schools in the Arab world are too few and too small leaving approximately $26 \%$ of Arab children (est: 9 million), ages 6 to 15, out of school or unaccommodated, technically speaking. Educational facilities are inconsistent and vary from one country to another. For instance, by 1989, countries like Jordan, United Arab Emirates (UAE), Bahrain, Tunisia, Syria, Iraq, Qatar and Libya had the facilities to provide schooling for all its students. Whereas, other countries such as Yemen (35\%), Somalia (50\%) and Mauritania (53\%) could only accommodate around $50 \%$ of their population.

These shortages result from national budget deficits on one hand and socio-economic obstacles in the
family on the other hand. Thus, many of the respective countries suffer from lack of funding and resources needed to create enough schools. On the other hand, high costs of education and gender socialization, giving priority of education to sons rather than daughters, persist.

Female enrollment in basic education has increased significantly in the last few decades. The increase was mobilized by a number of factors such as a general concencus that compulsory education is the prerogative of the state; education is the natural right of every female citizen; and basic education should represent bare knowledge of every citizen. Consequently, the number of students at the elementary level of basic education has risen from 8.1 m . in 1960 to 24.8 m . in 1985, i.e. a $349 \%$ increase. However, these rates are still lower than their counterparts in developed and developing countries. Furthermore, shortage of accom-
modation for all the children means failure to eliminate illiteracy and to develop the potentials of women.

## Female Drop-outs from Basic Education

The general definition of female school drop-out is when the student leaves school before the completion of the level in which she is enrolled, i.e preparatory, elementary, intermediate or secondary level, etc. . . . .

Education specialists in the Arab world seem to feel that this definition is incomplete. They suggest that it should include the females who cut their education short at a specific level rather than complete all the requirements. Furthermore, they feel that the qualification of the one who graduate should also be a determinant of what they call quality drop-out. Quality dropout occurs when a female student graduates with poor qualifications and remains unskilled and unequipped to
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cope with change and participate effectively in public development and public employment.

## The Rate of Female Drop-out in the Arab World and an Overview of Enrollment by Sex

Surveys confirms that in 1990, the number of females, in the Arab world, who are out of school and are not enrolled in any program ( $12,3 \%$ ) remains higher than male non-enrollment (10.1\%).

Unequal sex ratios in education are also evident inside the schools and in the programs. Hence, this research reports that, in 1985, females in elementary schools formed only $41 \%$ of the student population. At the intermediate and secondary levels, females were only $39 \%$ (see Table 2). The gap was significantly wider in higher education, where females amounted to only a third of the student population.

Table 2
Gender Distribution of Students in the Arab World (1985) (2)

| (\%) | Elementary |  <br> Secondary | Higher <br> Education |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 41 | 39 | 33 |
| Male | 59 | 61 | 68 |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Illiteracy rates show similar discrepancies (see Table 1). For example, female illiteracy is as high as $92.1 \%$ in Democratic Yemen (before unification), and $90.2 \%$ in Morocco. It is lowest in Lebanon at 18.5\% (see Table 3). Alphabetic illiteracy in the Arab world is also among the highest in the world, reaching $50 \%$ in general, and a high of $65 \%$ among females.

Hence, the phenomenon of drop-out accentuates the problem. According to the data of the Arab Council for Childhood and Development, the percentage of student drop-out from basic education in 1989, for both sexes, was highest in Yemen (85\%) and Somalia (67\%). It was lower in UAE (12\%), Algeria (17\%) and Libya (18\%).

The ratio of male to female drop-out was rather low
except in Bahrain and Kuwait (see Table 3). In Bahrain, male drop-out from basic education ( $6.34 \%$ ) was double the female drop-out (3\%) in 1989. The same case existed in Kuwait in 1980, with male drop-out averaging $6.9 \%$ compared to $3.1 \%$ for females. However, by 1989,the gap was significantly decreased in Kuwait, putting females on the higher end of the scale at $1.3 \%$ compared to $1.1 \%$ male drop-out.

Table 3
Percentage of Male and Female Drop-out from the Preparatory level of Basic Education in the Arab World, 1980, 1989

| Country | Year | Female (\%) | Male (\%) | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Jordan | 1980 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  | 1989 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| U.A.E. | 1980 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 3.8 |
|  | 1989 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| Bahrain | 1980 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
|  | 1989 | 3.4 | 6.3 | 4.8 |
| Tunisia | 1980 | 6.7 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
|  | 1989 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| Kuwait | 1980 | 3.1 | 6.9 | 5.0 |
|  | 1989 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Iraq | 1980 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 6.2 |
|  | 1989 | 6.9 | 2.0 | 6.8 |

Source: The State of the Child in the Arab World 1990, Arab Council for Childhood and Development.

In another survey which examined drop-out from formal school in 1982/83 in Bahrain, female drop-out (39\%) was higher than male drop-out ( $36 \%$ ) at the elementary level. The survey also revealed that the rate of drop-outs varied with age, country, geographical location and sex.

In a study conducted in Syria, the rate of female drop-out is higher that its male counterpart in secondary school and at pre-university levels. At the elementary level, on the other hand, female drop-out was higher in
rural areas ( $30.02 \%$ ) compared to urban areas ( $18.38 \%$ ).

## Determinants of Female Drop-outs

"School enrollment is not, however, the only condition which mitigates against the distribution of educational opportunity between the two sexes in the Arab world. General cultural mores as well as inschool factors .... prevent women from reaching their full potential." (3)

In the survey reported by the Arab Council for Childhood and Development, a sample of female dropouts were asked to give the reasons and factors which led them to drop-out of basic education.

1. One third of the respondents said that the main reason for leaving school was financial: they could not afford tuition fees. Another main reason was the inconvenience and difficulty of commuting between home and school in the absence of adequate means of transportation.
2. Half of the respondents said that (cultural) priority given to family care and early marriage was a major reason that led them to drop-out of school.
3. One third of the sample in rural areas and one
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third of the sample in urban areas said that they left school in order to help at home and to save the relevant expenses for the needs of the family.
4. Approximately half of the sample related dropout to teaching methodologies and curricula such as the difficulty of the material and its lack of relevance to their needs.
5. Many respondents related drop-out to in-school factors such as lack of guidance from administrators and instructors, lack of coordination between the school and the parents, and discrepancies in treatment.
6. Approximately one third of the respondents said they dropped out for personal reasons such as loss of interest, failure in class, etc . . .
7. Another third of the respondents related drop-out to poor educational policies and facilities, such as the absence of financial assistance.

Accoraing to this survey, the determinants of female drop-out are related to the educational system, such as curricula, lack of guidance, lack of communication between the school and parents, and lack of assistance. Other main determinants are inherent in the social and economic systems of society, whereby the family cannot afford the costs of education. Furthermore, the ignorance of parents hinder the development of their children. Last but not least, the value system, which gives priority of education to sons is largely responsible for the drop-out of females, their illiteracy and their lack of qualification to participate in development in their society.
(*) Al-Ta'lim al-Assasi wa Tasarub al-Inath fi al-Watan al-Aarabi, Basic Education and female Drop-out in the Arab World, The State of the Child in the Arab World 1990 Arab Council for Childhood and Development. Translated by Randa Abul-Husn.
(1) Massialas, B.C. and Samir A. Jarrar, Arab Education in Transition: a Source Book. New York: Garland Publishing, Inc. 1991. p. 68.
(2) Ibid.
(3) Ibid, Massialas and Jarrar 1991, p. 56.

